

September 12, 2016

## U.S. Election Look Ahead – Impact of Clinton vs. Trump victory?

With November 8 looming, investors are increasingly focused on the U.S. presidential election. We asked some of our portfolio management experts to share their thoughts, including the potential impact of a Clinton vs. Trump victory.



**Scott Wittman**

*Chief Investment Officer,  
Asset Allocation & Disciplined Equity*



**Luc de la Durantaye**

*Managing Director  
Asset Allocation and Currency Management*



**Jeffrey Gundlach**

*Chief Executive Officer &  
Chief Investment Officer*

**WELLINGTON  
MANAGEMENT®**

**John Butler  
Toby Johnston  
Michael Medeiros**

*Global Bond Strategists*

### *Importance of the election?*

#### **CIBC Asset Management**

From an investment perspective, political developments are best ignored most of the time. While election campaigns lead to bold statements from politicians, once in power, candidates manage the economy back to the center, leading to only incremental policy changes at the margin. That said, an underlying global trend of ‘voter discontent’ certainly makes this an election worth following more closely.

A study from Erikson and Wlezien on national polls covering presidential elections going back to the early 1950’s seems to indicate that the candidate that pulls ahead in the polls by early September has most often won the election. The current race shows that the Clinton camp would benefit from that trend. Historical evidence has also shown that a positive stock market helps re-elect the incumbent party most of the time. If Mark Twain is correct that history does not repeat itself but it rhymes, so far the odds are leaning towards the Democrats to win the November election.

#### **American Century Investments**

There is a great deal of academic research examining the relationship between the economic cycle and the political cycle. And the conclusion of virtually all of it is the same: No one party (Republican or Democrat) is consistently better or worse for stocks, bonds, or economic sectors than the other—at least with any degree of statistical significance.

### *Brexit parallels*

#### **Wellington Management**

The Brexit vote was another symptom of a growing dissatisfaction with globalization and the political elite. Globalization is now blamed for growing economic uncertainty, susceptibility of financial markets to risk contagion, and income inequality within countries. This is demonstrated not only by the increasing prominence of populist political parties across Europe, but also in the U.S. with the popularity of Bernie Sanders and Donald Trump, and Hillary Clinton’s opposition to the Trans-Pacific Partnership free-trade agreement.

#### **DoubleLine®**

This is a train that’s moving down the tracks, and I don’t see it stopping until substantial change occurs. Minor fixes—like raising the top tax bracket to 39% from 36%—are not going to create an enduring solution. We are going to see it in the U.S., in our presidential election. One of the reasons I believe Trump might win is that Brexit won. The parallels are far too great to be coincidental. They are identical in time. They are identical in mood, in the attitude of ‘I’m not doing what you say anymore.’<sup>1</sup>

## Shorter-term U.S. economic & market repercussions

### Wellington Management

Political uncertainty looks likely to persist given the wide distribution of potential outcomes in November.

In the months leading up to the elections, political uncertainty is likely to continue dampening business investment spending in the U.S. as companies weigh the potential for significant changes in taxation and government spending. This uncertainty could spill over into the labor market and reduce hiring in the third and fourth quarters of this year.

### CIBC Asset Management

On the fiscal front, our expectation is that U.S. fiscal policy will become slightly more expansionary following the election. So far, both parties have signaled intentions to boost fiscal spending through increased public investment in infrastructure and tax reform, which would boost economic activity. This would be consistent with last year, when fiscal policy eased slightly despite the divided environment of a Democrat presidency and Republican Congress.

### Clinton victory implications

#### Wellington Management

If the betting markets are right and the November elections result in a President Clinton with a Republican majority in Congress, the economic and market implications of this “status quo” outcome seem somewhat limited. A few policy actions could potentially garner bipartisan support. Two that merit consideration: changes in corporate tax laws to promote repatriation of corporate profits held overseas to fund infrastructure spending, and immigration reform. In our opinion, both would likely represent structural and cyclical positives for the economy.

Risks to business of a Clinton presidency include possible executive orders on drug pricing, antitrust enforcement, and restrictions on fracking for domestic oil production.

### CIBC Asset Management

It would be a status quo with changes at the margin given that the Republicans are expected to maintain power over Congress.

### Trump victory implications

#### Wellington Management

The potential economic, political, and societal implications of a Trump victory, not just for the U.S. but for the rest of the world — especially emerging markets — are much greater than those of a Clinton victory.

If Trump wins, Republicans are also likely to dominate Congress. In this scenario, we would place higher odds on enactment of the following policies:

- Corporate and individual tax reform that simplifies the tax code and lowers tax rates
- Higher defense and infrastructure spending
- Regulatory reform (particularly in the financial and health care sectors)
- Entitlement reform (Trump would likely have to give in to trimming federal spending on pensions and health care; otherwise the deficit would widen substantially)

Continued protectionist rhetoric could also make emerging markets more vulnerable — especially those that have benefitted most from trade with the U.S., such as Mexico. A Trump victory could further accelerate the shift away from globalization, potentially causing risk premia to rise across almost all asset classes.

	Clinton victory	Trump victory
Broad political / policy implications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Status quo” outcome, with strong checks and balances from a Republican House</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corporate and individual tax reform that simplifies the tax code and lowers rates</li> <li>• Increase protectionist measures such as higher tariffs and import restrictions</li> <li>• Regulatory reform (financial and health care)</li> <li>• Possible entitlement reform</li> </ul>
Initiatives with higher likelihood of enactment (bipartisan support)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corporate tax repatriation to fund infrastructure spending</li> <li>• Immigration reform</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Higher defense and infrastructure spending</li> </ul>
Initiatives with lower likelihood of enactment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Substantial productivity-enhancing reforms, given the vast disagreements between both parties on fiscal policy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Negatives for EM, especially those countries which have benefitted from the structural rise in free trade (e.g. Mexico)</li> </ul>
Risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive orders on drug pricing, antitrust, and fracking</li> </ul>	
Potential market implications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low-for-longer interest rates and inflation</li> <li>• Range bound or weakening U.S. dollar</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial risk-off movement driven by uncertainty over Trump’s governing style</li> <li>• Fiscal stimulus even at a time when the U.S. is at/near full employment</li> <li>• Higher inflation through imported goods</li> <li>• Question marks over U.S. “willingness to pay” given Trump comments and history of selective defaults</li> </ul>

## CIBC Asset Management

From a financial market impact, in our view, this U.S. presidential election is likely to lead to greater policy uncertainty. This would be particularly true under a Trump presidency, given his emphasis on renegotiating or repealing trade agreements and limiting immigration. These actions would likely have negative implications for U.S. productivity and economic growth. But more generally, Trump has not followed traditional economic ideology of the Republican party which makes his candidacy less predictable if he were to win the Presidential election. Increased political uncertainty would likely translate into increased financial market volatility.

### *Do long-term portfolios need adjusting based on the U.S. election?*

#### DoubleLine®

It is clear that both candidates are talking about expanding the deficit with infrastructure spending and, with Trump, also military spending. If you are going to increase government spending it will add to GDP. That is probably not friendly to bonds. <sup>2</sup>

## American Century Investments

Even if we knew the electoral result ahead of time, this is not sufficient for a viable investment strategy. Instead, we would argue that investors would do well to tune out the electoral echo chamber and focus on developing a risk-appropriate, sustainable saving and investing plan. A balanced, diversified approach gives investors the best opportunity to ride out volatile market conditions and stick to their financial plan.

## CIBC Asset Management

We recently reduced our exposure to equity markets in general and the U.S market in particular. These strategy changes represented our assessment that equity markets were becoming expensive. Economic and political uncertainty, not only in the U.S. but in Europe as well following the Brexit outcome, was growing at a time when central bank policymakers were running out of policy tools. We find this to still be the case and continue to advise a more balanced approach to clients' portfolios until some of these uncertainties can be clarified.

<sup>1</sup> Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Aug. 10, 2016, Q&A Interview transcript with Todd Rosenbluth and Jeffrey Gundlach

<sup>2</sup> Source: Barron's, July 9, 2016, article by Ben Levisohn entitled "Jeffrey Gundlach on Stocks, Trump, and Gold"

Wellington Management Company LLP is a SEC-registered investment advisor and independent and unaffiliated investment manager to CIBC. DoubleLine® is a registered trademark of DoubleLine Capital LP.

"For use in consultation with your Financial Advisor"

The views expressed in this article are the personal views of the portfolio managers and should not be taken as the views of CIBC Asset Management Inc. Renaissance Investments are offered by CIBC Asset Management Inc. These commentaries are provided for general informational purposes only and does not constitute financial, investment, tax, legal or accounting advice nor does it constitute an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any securities referred to. Individual circumstances and current events are critical to sound investment planning; anyone wishing to act on this article should consult with his or her advisor. The information contained in this document has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and is believed to be accurate at the time of publishing, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete and it should not be relied upon as such. All opinions and estimates expressed in this document are as of the date of publication unless otherwise indicated, and are subject to change without notice. The material and/or its contents may not be reproduced without the express written consent of CIBC Asset Management Inc. ©Renaissance Investments is a registered trademark of CIBC Asset Management Inc. Commissions, trailing commissions, management fees, and expenses all may be associated with mutual fund investments. Please read the Renaissance Investments family of funds simplified prospectus before investing. Mutual funds are not guaranteed, their values change frequently, and past performance may not be repeated.